

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PIUS C.KURIAKOSE

WEDNESDAY, THE 14TH MARCH 2007 / 23RD PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 8565 of 2007(T)

PETITIONER:

ADHRAMAN, S/O.ABU HAJI,
AGED 47 YEARS, THAROTHAZATHA HOUSE,
PULLANNORE, MALAYAMMA P.O., KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.K.M.FIROZ

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE CHATHAMANGALAM GRAMA PANCHAYATH,
CHATHAMANGALAM, REPRESENTED BY ITS
SECRETARY.
2. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER,
REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICE, KOZHIKODE.
3. SMT.ALIPARAMBATH PATHEYI,
W/O.K.M.KUNJIMOHAMMED, AGED ABOUT 50 YEARS,
KIZHAKKE ALIPARAMBATH, KUZHIMANNIL,
PULLANNORE, P.O.MYLAMMA, KOZHIKODE DISTRICT.

R2 BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT.T.B.RAMANI

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 14/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

PIUS C. KURIAKOSE,J.

W.P.(C)No.8565 of 2007

Dated: 14th March, 2007

JUDGMENT

I do not propose to examine the merits of the matter. The grievance of the petitioner is that nuisance is being caused on account of a building which has been constructed by the third respondent and the persons who presently inhabiting that building. The petitioner has approached the 2nd respondent-R.D.O. by filing Ext.P1 petition. It seems that Ext.P1 has been entertained by the 2nd respondent who has issued Ext.P2. The Writ Petition will stand disposed of directing the 2nd respondent-R.D.O. to finalise the proceedings which are initiated as per Ext.P2 at his earliest and at any rate within three months of receiving a copy of this judgment. The above direction will not stand in the way of the 1st respondent-Panchayat taking an early decision on Exts.P3, P4 and P5.

srd

PIUS C.KURIAKOSE, JUDGE